arXiv:1406.6240v2 [math.DG] 26 Sep 2014

ON A STABILITY PROPERTY OF SKYRME-RELATED ENERGY FUNCTIONALS

RADU SLOBODEANU

Abstract. We study the stability of critical maps from (or into) spheres with respect to the symplectic Dirichlet and σ_2 energies which are the fourth power terms in Skyrme type sigma-models.

1. Introduction

Given two Riemannian manifolds (M, g) and (N, h), the solutions $\varphi : M \to N$ of the variational problem associated to the *Dirichlet energy*

$$\mathcal{E}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}\int_M |\mathrm{d}\varphi|^2 \nu_g.$$

are called *harmonic maps*. Derrick's *scaling argument* [4] implies that, in any dimension other than $m = 2$, there are no non-constant finite-energy harmonic maps defined on $\mathbb{R}^m$. This feature is not entirely specific to the euclidean metric, as proved by Sealey [18]. If $\mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ is allowed to contain a potential term $V \circ \varphi$, then there are no non-trivial solutions if $m > 2$. A counterpart for compact domains is Xin's theorem [26] asserting that if $m > 2$, then there is no non-constant *stable* harmonic map defined on the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^m$. A mirror result by Leung [3] holds for mapping taking values into the sphere. Both facts are proved using the Lawson-Simons *averaging argument* [9].

Derrick and Xin-Leung theorems can be evaded by considering higher power energies, the price to be paid consisting in restrictions on the ellipticity of the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations. For instance, if we consider consider the *p-energy* ($p > 2$)

$$\mathcal{E}_p(\varphi) = \frac{1}{p}\int_M |\mathrm{d}\varphi|^p \nu_g,$$

both restrictions are relaxed to $m > p$. Moreover, the Hopf map from $\mathbb{S}^3$ to $\mathbb{S}^2$ minimizes the p-energy in its homotopy class for $p \geq 4$ [17].

Another natural choice of high power functional was introduced in the seminal paper on harmonic maps [6] as

$$\mathcal{E}_{\sigma_p}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}\int_M |\wedge^p \mathrm{d}\varphi|^2 \nu_g,$$

Date: September 29, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58E20, 53C43, 58E30, 53B50.

Key words and phrases. Harmonic map, calculus of variations, stability.

and was called σ_p-*energy* since the integrand can be also seen as $\sigma_p(\varphi^*h)$, the p^{th} elementary symmetric function of the eigenvalues of φ^*h with respect to g. The fourth power case, $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma_2}(\varphi)$, was already known as the self-interaction term of Skyrme's sigma-model [20] in nuclear physics.

Motivated by the strong coupling limit of Faddeev-Niemi model [7], Speight and Svensson [23, 24] studied the *symplectic Dirichlet energy*:

$$\mathcal{F}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}\int_M |\varphi^*\Omega|^2\nu_g,$$

suited for maps taking values in a symplectic manifold (N, Ω).

While Derrick's result extends immediately to these alternative energy functionals asserting the non-existence of non-trivial finite energy solutions in dimensions above the highest degree of derivatives appearing in the integrand, Xin-Leung restriction needs a more elaborate case-by-case analysis. This has already been done for the Yang-Mills energy (of instantons) [2], for the volume functional (of immersions) [9, 19], for the p-energy [3, 25] and for the L^2 norm of the pullback metric [8]. In this short note we complete the picture for the fourth power energies by proving analogue results for $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma_2}$ and $\mathcal{F}$, and by pointing out their global counterpart. This allows us to derive stability properties also for the case when we couple each of these two functionals with the Dirichlet energy, as it is usually done in the original sigma-models.

Throughout the paper, manifolds, metrics, and maps are assumed to be smooth. On a connected Riemannian manifold (M, g) with Levi-Civita connection ∇, we use the following sign conventions for the curvature tensor field $R(X,Y)Z = \nabla_X\nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y\nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]}Z$, and $\Delta f = \operatorname{trace}\nabla \mathrm{d}f$ for the Laplacian on functions.

2. Symplectic Dirichlet stability on spheres

Let (M, g) and (N, J, h) be Riemannian manifolds, the second being endowed with an almost Kähler structure with the fundamental 2-form $\Omega(\cdot,\cdot) = h(\cdot, J\cdot)$. A map $\varphi : M \to N$ is $\mathcal{F}$-critical if the first variation of $\mathcal{F}$ at φ vanishes, and this is proved ([23]) to be equivalent with the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$\mathrm{d}\varphi\left((\delta\varphi^*\Omega)^\sharp\right) = 0. \tag{1}$$

A vacuum solution (i.e. $\varphi^*\Omega = 0$) is called *isotropic*. A critical map is moreover a local minimizer (stable critical point) if the second variation of the energy (the Hessian) evaluated at this map is positive definite. For any $v \in \Gamma(\varphi^{-1}TN)$, and any $\mathcal{F}$-critical map φ, the Hessian of $\mathcal{F}$ can be calculated as ([23])

$$\operatorname{Hess}^{\mathcal{F}}_{\varphi}(v,v) = \int_M \{|\mathrm{d}(\varphi^* i_v\Omega)|^2 + \Omega(v, \nabla^{\varphi}_{Z_\varphi}v)\}\nu_g, \tag{2}$$

where $Z_\varphi = (\delta\varphi^*\Omega)^\sharp$. In particular, if $\delta\varphi^*\Omega = 0$, then we see that φ is stable (it actually minimizes $\mathcal{F}$ in its homotopy class [24]).

Lemma 1 ([21])**.** *Let $\varphi : (M, g) \to (N, h)$ be a mapping between Riemannian manifolds. Then for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$ we have*

$$(\nabla_X \varphi^* h)(Y, Z) = h(\nabla \mathrm{d}\varphi(X, Y), \mathrm{d}\varphi(Z)) + h(\mathrm{d}\varphi(Y), \nabla \mathrm{d}\varphi(X, Z)).$$

Remark 1 (Averaging argument)**.** The method introduced in [9] in order to find necessary conditions for stability on/into spheres consists in averaging the second variation of the respective energy functional on a particular family of gradient conformal vector fields. Let $(a_\alpha)_{\alpha=1,\dots,m+1}$ be an orthonormal basis in $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$. Define $f_\alpha : \mathbb{S}^m \to \mathbb{R}$, $f_\alpha(x) = \langle a_\alpha, x\rangle$ and take $\operatorname{grad} f_\alpha \in \Gamma(T\mathbb{S}^m)$. We have $(\operatorname{grad} f_\alpha)_x = a_\alpha - f_\alpha(x)x$, $|\operatorname{grad} f_\alpha|^2 = 1 - f_\alpha^2$ and

$$\nabla_X \operatorname{grad} f_\alpha = -f_\alpha X \qquad (X \in \Gamma(T\mathbb{S}^m)), \tag{3}$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of the canonical metric g on $\mathbb{S}^m$. In particular, f_α are eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator corresponding to the first non-zero eigenvalue: $\Delta f_\alpha = -m f_\alpha$. It is immediate to see that $\sum_\alpha f_\alpha^2 = 1$ (so $\sum_\alpha f_\alpha \operatorname{grad} f_\alpha = 0$), and that, for any $X \in \Gamma(T\mathbb{S}^m)$, $X = \sum_\alpha g(X, \operatorname{grad} f_\alpha) \operatorname{grad} f_\alpha$.

Proposition 1. *If $m > 4$ there is no non-isotropic stable $\mathcal{F}$-critical map from $\mathbb{S}^m$ to any almost Kähler manifold.*

Proof. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{S}^m \to (N, \Omega)$ be a smooth $\mathcal{F}$-critical map and $v_\alpha = \mathrm{d}\varphi(\operatorname{grad} f_\alpha) \in \Gamma(\varphi^{-1}TN)$, $\alpha = 1, ..., m+1$ be defined using Remark 1.

Observe that $\nabla^\varphi_V \mathrm{d}\varphi(X) = \mathrm{d}\varphi([V, X])$, for any $V \in \ker \mathrm{d}\varphi$ and any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. Since φ is $\mathcal{F}$-critical, $Z_\varphi \in \ker \mathrm{d}\varphi$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(v_\alpha, \nabla^\varphi_{Z_\varphi} v_\alpha) &= -\varphi^*\Omega([Z_\varphi, \operatorname{grad} f_\alpha], \operatorname{grad} f_\alpha) \\ &= \varphi^*\Omega\left(\nabla_{\operatorname{grad} f_\alpha} Z_\varphi, \operatorname{grad} f_\alpha\right) \\ &= -\left(\nabla_{\operatorname{grad} f_\alpha} \varphi^*\Omega\right)\left(Z_\varphi, \operatorname{grad} f_\alpha\right), \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

so by summing over α we obtain

$$\sum_\alpha \Omega(v_\alpha, \nabla^\varphi_{Z_\varphi} v_\alpha) = -|\delta\varphi^*\Omega|^2. \tag{5}$$

Using Lemma 1 and Remark 1, we obtain

$$\mathrm{d}(\varphi^* \imath_{v_\alpha}\Omega)(X, Y) = \left(\nabla_{\operatorname{grad} f_\alpha} \varphi^*\Omega\right)(X, Y) - 2 f_\alpha\, \varphi^*\Omega(X, Y), \tag{6}$$

so by taking the norm and summing over α,

$$\sum_\alpha |\mathrm{d}(\varphi^* \imath_{v_\alpha}\Omega)|^2 = |\nabla\varphi^*\Omega|^2 + 4|\varphi^*\Omega|^2. \tag{7}$$

Combining (5) and (7) we see that calculating the trace of the Hessian requires the following Weitzenböck formula for p-forms (see [5, (1.32)] and references therein)

$$-\tfrac{1}{2}\Delta|\sigma|^2 = \langle \Delta\sigma, \sigma\rangle - |\nabla\sigma|^2 - \langle S(\sigma), \sigma\rangle,$$

which by integration over a compact manifold without boundary gives:

$$\int_M |\mathrm{d}\sigma|^2 + |\delta\sigma|^2 - |\nabla\sigma|^2 - \langle S(\sigma), \sigma\rangle = 0. \tag{8}$$

If $\sigma \in \Lambda^2(M)$, then the curvature operator S acts as follows

$$S(\sigma)(X_1, X_2) = \sigma(\mathrm{Ric}\, X_1, X_2) + \sigma(X_1, \mathrm{Ric}\, X_2) + \sum_s \sigma(e_s, R(X_1, X_2)e_s).$$

In particular, for 2-forms on $\mathbb{S}^m$ we simply have

$$S(\sigma)(X_1, X_2) = (2m-4)\sigma(X_1, X_2).$$

Applying (8) for the closed 2-form $\varphi^*\Omega$ on $\mathbb{S}^m$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_\alpha \mathrm{Hess}^{\mathcal{F}}_\varphi(v_\alpha, v_\alpha) &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^m} \big\{ -|\delta\varphi^*\Omega|^2 + |\nabla\varphi^*\Omega|^2 + 4|\varphi^*\Omega|^2\big\}\nu_{can} \\ &= 2(4-m)\int_{\mathbb{S}^m} |\varphi^*\Omega|^2\nu_{can}\end{aligned}$$

and the conclusion follows. □

This generalizes [21, Prop. 3.4] in the case of Hopf maps. Recall that [24] the Hopf map $\varphi : \mathbb{S}^3 \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ minimizes $\mathcal{F}$ in its homotopy class.

2.1. **Full Faddeev-Niemi model.** Let us now turn attention to the coupled energy

$$\mathcal{E}(\varphi) + \kappa\mathcal{F}(\varphi),$$

where κ is a positive coupling constant. A mapping φ will be a critical point for this action if and only if:

$$\tau(\varphi) - \kappa J\mathrm{d}\varphi\left((\delta\varphi^*\Omega)^\sharp\right) = 0, \tag{9}$$

where $\tau(\varphi) = \mathrm{trace}\,\nabla\mathrm{d}\varphi$ is the *tension field* of φ. Even if the the Hessian of a coupled energy is still a linear combination of the two individual Hessians, combining the averaging arguments requires caution, since in the computation of $\sum_\alpha \mathrm{Hess}^{\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F}}_\varphi(v_\alpha, v_\alpha)$ we employed again the (individual) Euler-Lagrange equations. So by carefully redoing the same steps for the full energy and using this time (9), we obtain

$$\sum_\alpha \mathrm{Hess}^{\mathcal{E}+\kappa\mathcal{F}}_\varphi(v_\alpha, v_\alpha) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^m} \left\{(2-m)|\mathrm{d}\varphi|^2 + 2\kappa(4-m)|\varphi^*\Omega|^2\right\}\nu_{can}.$$

In particular, if $m \geq 4$, then there is no non-constant stable $(\mathcal{E}+\kappa\mathcal{F})$-critical map from $\mathbb{S}^m$ to any almost Kähler manifold. If $m = 3$, a necessary condition for a non-isotropic $(\mathcal{E}+\kappa\mathcal{F})$-critical map $\varphi : \mathbb{S}^3 \to N^2$ to be stable is

$$\kappa \geq \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} |\mathrm{d}\varphi|^2\nu_{can}}{2\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} |\varphi^*\Omega|^2\nu_{can}}$$

For the Hopf map $\mathbb{S}^3 \to \mathbb{C}P^1 \cong \mathbb{S}^2(\frac{1}{2})$ this reads $\kappa \geq 1$ and it is also a sufficient condition, as proved in [23].

3. σ_2-Stability on spheres

For any map $\varphi : (M^m, g) \to (N^n, h)$ between Riemannian manifolds of dimensions $m, n \geq 2$, we denote by $\sigma_2(\varphi^* h) = \sum_{i<j} \lambda_i^2 \lambda_j^2$ and we call σ_2-*energy* the action functional $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma_2}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}\int_M \sigma_2(\varphi^* h)\nu_g$, where λ_i^2 are the eigenvalues of $\varphi^* h$ with respect to g. The corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations are ([30], cf. also [22])

$$\operatorname{trace} \nabla(|\mathrm{d}\varphi|^2 \mathrm{d}\varphi - \mathrm{d}\varphi \circ \mathfrak{C}_\varphi) = 0,$$

where $\mathfrak{C}_\varphi = \mathrm{d}\varphi^t \circ \mathrm{d}\varphi$, the (1,1)-"dual" of $\varphi^* h$, is called the *Cauchy-Green tensor*. The second variation formula is given below. Here we shall investigate its behaviour for mappings defined on spheres and we expect to recover the result of the previous section in this case (cf. [1] for the identity map). Since $2\sigma_2(\varphi^* h) = |\mathrm{d}\varphi|^4 - |\varphi^* h|^2$ in order to prove this we would be tempted to simply combine the result in [3, 25]

$$\sum_\alpha \operatorname{Hess}_\varphi^{\mathcal{E}_4}(v_\alpha, v_\alpha) = (4-n)|\mathrm{d}\varphi|^4$$

with the corresponding result in [8] for $\mathcal{G}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{4}\int_M |\varphi^* h|^2 \nu_g$

$$\sum_\alpha \operatorname{Hess}_\varphi^{\mathcal{G}}(v_\alpha, v_\alpha) = (4-n)|\varphi^* h|^2.$$

But, as already mentioned, in the derivation of these "trace" formulae the individual Euler-Lagrange equations have been employed again, so we need to identify the respective terms in order to see that this approach actually gives us the expected result. For the convenience of the reader we present the main lines of the complete proof.

Lemma 2 (The second σ_2-variation [30])**.** *The second variation of the σ_2-energy along $v \in \Gamma(\varphi^{-1}TN)$ evaluated on a σ_2-critical map φ is*

(10)

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Hess}_\varphi^{\mathcal{E}_{\sigma_2}}(v,v) = &\int_M \left\{2(\operatorname{div}^\varphi v)^2 + |\mathrm{d}\varphi|^2\left(|\nabla^\varphi v|^2 - \operatorname{Ric}^\varphi(v,v)\right)\right\}\nu_g \\ &- \int_M \left\{\tfrac{1}{2}|H_v|^2 + \sum_i \lambda_i^2\left(|\nabla^\varphi_{e_i} v|^2 - \langle R^N(v, \mathrm{d}\varphi(e_i))\mathrm{d}\varphi(e_i), v\rangle\right)\right\}\nu_g\end{aligned}$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i=1,\dots,m}$ is a (local) orthonormal frame of eigenvectors of $\varphi^* h$ on M, $H_v(X,Y) = h(\nabla^\varphi_X v, \mathrm{d}\varphi(Y)) + h(\nabla^\varphi_Y v, \mathrm{d}\varphi(X))$, for any X and Y tangent vectors to M, $\operatorname{div}^\varphi v = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{trace} H_v$, *and* $\operatorname{Ric}^\varphi(v,w) = \sum_j h\left(R^N(v, \mathrm{d}\varphi(e_j))\mathrm{d}\varphi(e_j), w\right)$.

As for the symplectic Dirichlet energy, we need a Weitzenböck formula.

Lemma 3 (Weitzenböck type formula [14])**.** *For any smooth map $\varphi : (M, g) \to (N, h)$ the following identy holds*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{4}\Delta|\varphi^*h|^2 =&\frac{1}{2}|\nabla\varphi^*h|^2 + \sum_i \lambda_i^2|\nabla \mathrm{d}\varphi(e_i,\cdot)|^2\\ &+\sum_i \lambda_i^2\left[h\left(\mathrm{d}\varphi(\mathrm{Ric}^M e_i), \mathrm{d}\varphi(e_i)\right) - \mathrm{Ric}^\varphi\left(\mathrm{d}\varphi(e_i), \mathrm{d}\varphi(e_i)\right)\right]\\ &+\mathrm{div}(\mathfrak{C}_\varphi(\mathrm{d}\varphi^t(\tau(\varphi))) - h(\tau(\varphi), \mathrm{trace}\,\nabla(\mathrm{d}\varphi\circ\mathfrak{C}_\varphi)),\end{aligned}$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i=1,\dots,m}$ is a (local) orthonormal frame of eigenvectors for φ^*h with respect to g, corresponding to the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i^2\}_{i=1,\dots,m}$.

This identity is obtained by direct computation and not by deriving it from the general Weitzenböck formula [5, (1.34)] applied to $\mathrm{d}\varphi\circ\mathfrak{C}_\varphi$. By combining it with the Weitzenböck formula used in the regularity theory of p-harmonic maps ($p = 4$) [27] we can obtain a Weitzenböck formula suited for σ_2-critical maps.

We are now ready to prove the following stability property of σ_2-energy.

Proposition 2. *If $m > 4$, then there is no stable σ_2-critical map of rank ≥ 2 from $\mathbb{S}^m$ to any Riemannian manifold N^n ($n \geq 2$).*

Proof. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{S}^m \to N$ be a smooth σ_2-critical map and $v_\alpha = \mathrm{d}\varphi(\mathrm{grad}\, f_\alpha)$, $\alpha = 1, ..., m+1$ be defined in Remark 1. For the first two terms in (10), a computation corresponding to the 4-harmonic case yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_\alpha 2(\mathrm{div}^\varphi v_\alpha)^2 + |\mathrm{d}\varphi|^2\left(|\nabla^\varphi v_\alpha|^2 - \mathrm{Ric}^\varphi(v_\alpha, v_\alpha)\right)\\ &= (4-m)|\mathrm{d}\varphi|^4 + h\left(\tau(\varphi), |\mathrm{d}\varphi|^2\tau(\varphi) + \mathrm{d}\varphi(\mathrm{grad}\,|\mathrm{d}\varphi|^2)\right) + \mathrm{div}(\dots).\end{aligned}$$

For the third term in (10), by using Lemma 1 we obtain

$$\sum_\alpha \tfrac{1}{2}|H_{v_\alpha}|^2 = \tfrac{1}{2}|\nabla\varphi^*h|^2 + 2\sum_i \lambda_i^4.$$

Finally, we directly check that

$$\sum_i \lambda_i^2|\nabla\mathrm{d}\varphi(e_i,\cdot)|^2 = \sum_{i,\alpha}\lambda_i^2|\nabla^\varphi_{e_i}\mathrm{d}\varphi(\mathrm{grad}\, f_\alpha)|^2 - \sum_i \lambda_i^4,$$

which, combined with the Weitzenböck formula (Lemma 3), yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i,\alpha}\lambda_i^2\left[|\nabla^\varphi_{e_i}v_\alpha|^2 - h\left(R^N(v_\alpha, \mathrm{d}\varphi(e_i))\mathrm{d}\varphi(e_i), v_\alpha\right)\right]\\ &= -\tfrac{1}{2}|\nabla\varphi^*h|^2 + (2-m)\sum_i\lambda_i^4 + h\left(\tau(\varphi), \mathrm{trace}\,\nabla(\mathrm{d}\varphi\circ\mathfrak{C}_\varphi)\right) + \mathrm{div}(\dots).\end{aligned}$$

Inserting all in the Hessian formula (10) and noticing that the σ_2-Euler-Lagrange equations satisfied by φ assure the cancellation of $h(\tau(\varphi), \dots)$,

we obtain

$$\sum_\alpha \mathrm{Hess}_\varphi^{\mathcal{E}_{\sigma_2}}(v_\alpha, v_\alpha) = 2(4-m)\int_{\mathbb{S}^m} \sigma_2(\varphi^* h)\nu_{can}$$

and, since $\sigma_2(\varphi^* h) \geq 0$ with equality iff $\operatorname{rank} \mathrm{d}\varphi_x < 2$ for all $x \in \mathbb{S}^m$, the conclusion follows. □

Starting from Equation (10) and applying the averaging argument (Remark 1) with $v_\alpha = (\operatorname{grad} f_\alpha) \circ \varphi$ yields

Lemma 4 (Stability inequality)**.** *For any stable σ_2-critical map $\varphi : M \to \mathbb{S}^n$ the folowing inequality holds*

$$\int_M \left\{(n-1)\left(|\operatorname{grad} f|^2 |\mathrm{d}\varphi|^2 - |\mathrm{d}\varphi(\operatorname{grad} f)|^2\right) + 2(4-n) f^2 \sigma_2(\varphi^* h)\right\}\nu_g \geq 0,$$

where f is a smooth function with compact support on M.

Letting $f = 1$ gives the non-existence result analogous to [10, 25, 27],

Proposition 3. *If $n > 4$, then there is no stable σ_2-critical map of rank ≥ 2 from any compact Riemannian manifold M^m ($m \geq 2$) into $\mathbb{S}^n$.*

3.1. **Full Skyrme model.** Let us consider the coupled energy

$$\mathcal{E}(\varphi) + \kappa \mathcal{E}_{\sigma_2}(\varphi),$$

where κ is a positive coupling constant. With the same argument as in the previous section, if $m \geq 4$, then there is no non-constant stable $(\mathcal{E} + \kappa\mathcal{E}_{\sigma_2})$-critical map from $\mathbb{S}^m$ to any Riemannian manifold. If $m = 3$, a necessary condition for a non-constant $(\mathcal{E} + \kappa\mathcal{E}_{\sigma_2})$-critical map $\varphi : \mathbb{S}^3 \to N$ to be stable is

$$\kappa \geq \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} |\mathrm{d}\varphi|^2 \nu_{can}}{2\int_{\mathbb{S}^3} \sigma_2(\varphi^* h)\nu_{can}}$$

For the identity map of $\mathbb{S}^3$ (of unit radius) this reads $\kappa \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and one knows that it is also a sufficient condition [11, 12]; see also [22].

4. Infima in homotopy classes

In this section we point out a global analogue of the results in the previous sections.

Lemma 5. *(i) Let $\varphi : (M, g) \to (N^n, \Omega, h)$ be a (smooth) map into an almost Kähler manifold with fundamental 2-form Ω. Then*

$$|\varphi^*\Omega|^2 \leq \sigma_2(\varphi^* h),$$

where the equality is reached if and only if $n = 2$.
(ii) Let $\varphi : (M, g) \to (N^n, h)$ be a (smooth) map between Riemannian manifolds. Then

$$\sigma_2(\varphi^* h) \leq \tfrac{n-1}{2n} |\mathrm{d}\varphi|^4,$$

where the equality is reached if and only if φ is semi-conformal (i.e., the eigenvalues of φ^*h are all equal).

Proof. (i) Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1,\dots,m}$ be a (local) orthonormal frame of eigenvectors of φ^*h. Applying Cauchy inequality $|\varphi^*\Omega|^2 = \sum_{i<j} h(\mathrm{d}\varphi(e_i), J\mathrm{d}\varphi(e_j))^2 \leq \sum_{i<j} |\mathrm{d}\varphi(e_i)|^2|\mathrm{d}\varphi(e_j)|^2 = \sum_{i<j} \lambda_i^2\lambda_j^2 = \sigma_2(\varphi^*h)$, gives us the result.
(ii) This is one of the Newton's inequalities. □

Since, by [28, 29], the infimum of the 4-energy in each homotopy class of mappings from (or into) a sphere of dimension greater than 4 is zero, Lemma 5 implies the following

Proposition 4. *If $m > 4$, then the infimum of the symplectic Dirichlet energy and of σ_2-energy in any homotopy class of maps $\mathbb{S}^m \to N$ or $M \to \mathbb{S}^m$ (M, N compact) is zero.*

We include here an elementary proof for the first part of the result, analogous to the Dirichlet energy case [5, 6]. If a homotopy class of mappings $M \to \mathbb{S}^m$ contains a Riemannian submersion, the proof of the second statement is similar.

Proof. Let $m \geq 5$, $c > 0$ and ϕ_c be defined (by suspension) between charts of $\mathbb{S}^m$ as

$$(\cos s, \sin s \cdot z) \mapsto (\cos\alpha(s), \sin\alpha(s)\cdot z); \quad \alpha(s) = 2\arctan(c\tan(\tfrac{s}{2})),$$

where $0 \leq s < \pi$ and $z \in \mathbb{S}^{m-1}$. Notice that this defines indeed a smooth map $\phi_c : \mathbb{S}^m \to \mathbb{S}^m$ (regular at the poles), which has topological degree 1, and is conformal of dilation

$$\lambda^2 = \frac{c(1+\tan^2(\frac{s}{2}))}{1+c^2\tan^2(\frac{s}{2})},$$

where we considered $\mathbb{S}^m$ endowed with the canonical metric (we can show as in [5] that the statement we wish to prove is independent of the choices of metrics on the domain or codomain). Since $m \geq 5$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_4(\phi_c) &= \frac{\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1})}{4}\int_0^\pi \lambda^4 \sin^{m-1} s\,\mathrm{d}s \\
&= \frac{\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1})}{4}\int_0^\pi \left(\frac{2c\tan(\frac{s}{2})}{1+c^2\tan^2(\frac{s}{2})}\right)^4 \sin^{m-5} s\,\mathrm{d}s \\
&\leq \frac{\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1})}{4}\int_0^\pi \left(\frac{2c\tan(\frac{s}{2})}{1+c^2\tan^2(\frac{s}{2})}\right)^4 \mathrm{d}s = \frac{\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1})\pi c(c^2+4c+1)}{4(c+1)^4},
\end{aligned}$$

so $\lim_{c\to 0}\mathcal{E}_4(\phi_c) = 0$.

Now let N be a compact manifold and $\varphi : \mathbb{S}^m \to N$. Then $\varphi_c = \varphi\circ\phi_c$ is homotopic with φ. By an elementary (algebraic) property of Hilbert-Schmidt norm,

$$|\mathrm{d}\varphi_c|^4 \leq |\mathrm{d}\varphi|^4|\mathrm{d}\phi_c|^4,$$

so we can conclude that $\lim_{c\to 0}\mathcal{E}_4(\varphi_c) = 0$. Combining with Lemma 5 allows us to conclude that the infimum of the symplectic Dirichlet energy and of σ_2-energy in the homotopy class of $\mathbb{S}^m \to N$ is zero. □

5. Final remarks

In this note we restricted to the σ_2 and symplectic Dirichlet energies since they correspond to Lagrangians which are at most quadratic in first time derivatives (a requirement for any field theory with standard Hamiltonian). Nevertheless the results here should have straightforward extensions to other higher power functionals as σ_p. Also we discussed only the sphere case, but we had in mind that the same phenomena should occur not only on product of spheres but also on other symmetric spaces as it was proved for (p-)harmonic maps ([15, 13, 28]). Most notably it would be interesting to find the stability properties of the symplectic Dirichlet energy for maps defined on a complex projective space. Direct application of the averaging argument suited to $\mathbb{C}P^m$ ([16]) has failed to provide us with an effective criterion of stability. In this case the use of a different basis of vectors for the averaged Hessian seems to impose (most probably symplectic vectors).

References

[1] Bechtluft-Sachs, S. *Tension field and index form of energy-type functionals*, Glasg. Math. J. **45** (2003), 117–122.

[2] Bourguignon J.-P., Lawson H.B., and Simons J., *Stability and gap phenomena for Yang-Mills fields*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **76** (1979), 1550–1553.

[3] Cheung, L. F. and Leung P. F., *Some results on stable p-harmonic maps*, Glasgow Math. J. **36** (1994), 77–80.

[4] Derrick, G.H., *Comments on nonlinear wave equations as models for elementary particles*, J. Math. Phys. **5** (1964), 1252–1254.

[5] Eells, J., Lemaire, L., Selected topics in harmonic maps, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **50**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1983.

[6] Eells, J., Sampson J. H. *Harmonic Mappings of Riemannian Manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **86** (1964), 109–160.

[7] Faddeev L. D. and Niemi A. J., *Stable knot-like structures in classical field theory*, Nature **387** (1997), 58–61.

[8] Kawai S. and Nakauchi N., *Some results for stationary maps of a functional related to pullback metrics*, Nonlinear Analysis **74** (2011) 2284–2295.

[9] Lawson, Jr., H. B. and Simons J., *On stable currents and their applications to global problems in real and complex geometry*, Ann. of Math. **98** (1973), 427–450.

[10] Leung P. F., *On the stability of harmonic maps*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 949, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1982, pp. 122–129.

[11] Loss, M., *The Skyrme model on Riemannian manifolds*, Lett. Math. Phys. **14** (1987), 149–156.

[12] Manton, N.S., *Geometry of Skyrmions*, Commun. Math. Phys. **111** (1987), 469–478.

[13] Montaldo, S., *p-Harmonic maps and stability of Riemannian submersions* Bollettino U.M.I. (7) **10-A** (1996), 537-550.
[14] Nakauchi, N., Takenaka Y., *A variational problem for pullback metrics*, Ricerche mat. **60** (2011), 219–235.
[15] Ohnita Y., *Stability of harmonic maps and standard minimal immersions*, Tohoku Math. J. **38** (1986), 259–267.
[16] Ohnita Y., *On Pluriharmonicity of Stable Harmonic Maps*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **35** (1987), 563–568.
[17] Rivière, T., *Minimizing fibrations and p-harmonic maps in homotopy classes from S^3 into S^2*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **6** (1998), 427–483.
[18] Sealey H.C.J., *Some conditions ensuring the vanishing of harmonic differential forms with applications to harmonic maps and Yang-Mills theory*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **91** (1982), 441–452.
[19] Simons, J., *Minimal varieties in riemannian manifolds*, Ann. of Math. **88** (1968), 62–105.
[20] Skyrme, T.H.R., *A unified field theory of mesons and baryons*, Nuclear Phys. **31** (1962), 556–569.
[21] Slobodeanu, R., *A special class of holomorphic mappings and the Faddeev–Hopf model*, arXiv:0802.1626v5 [math.DG].
[22] Slobodeanu, R., *On the geometrized Skyrme and Faddeev models*, J. Geom. Phys. **60** (2010), 643 – 660.
[23] Speight, J. M. and Svensson, M., *On the strong coupling limit of the Faddeev-Hopf model*, Commun. Math. Phys. **272** (2007), 751–773.
[24] Speight, J. M. and Svensson, M., *Some global minimizers of a symplectic Dirichlet energy*, Q. J. Math. **62** (2011), 737–745.
[25] Takeuchi H., *Stability and Liouville theorems of p-harmonic maps*, Jap. J. Math., New Ser. **17** (1991), 317–332.
[26] Xin Y. L., *Some results on stable harmonic maps*, Duke Math. J. **47** (1980), 609–613.
[27] Xin,Y. L., Yang, Yi Hu, *Regularity of p-harmonic maps into certain manifolds with positive sectional curvature*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **466** (1995), 1–17.
[28] Wei, S. W., *Representing homotopy groups and spaces of maps by p-harmonic maps*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **47** (1998), 625–670.
[29] White, B. *Infima of energy functionals in homotopy classes of mappings*, J. Differential Geom. **23** (1986), 127–142.
[30] Wood, C. M. *Some energy-related functionals and their vertical variational theory*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Warwick, 1983.

Department of Theoretical Physics and Mathematics, Faculty of Physics, University of Bucharest, P.O. Box Mg-11, RO–077125 Bucharest-Măgurele, Romania, and

Institute of Mathematics, University of Neuchâtel, 11 rue Emile Argand, 2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland.

E-mail address: radualexandru.slobodeanu@g.unibuc.ro